EST. 1870

TATE SUSTAIN LIE

CFAES Faculty and Staff Climate Survey Results

Kathy Lechman, PhD, Assistant Dean and Director





CFAES Faculty and Staff Climate Survey

 In fall 2021 the CFAES Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion distributed a survey to all CFAES Faculty and staff with at least a .25% FTE. The survey asked about faculty and staff perceptions of the climate for diversity, equity, and inclusion at the college and department/unit level.

Faculty and Staff Climate Survey

- N=1979
- 1126 Female
- 852 Male
- 255 URM/BIPOC
- 1523 White
- 200 Undisclosed
- 1117 completed the survey
- 56% response rate
- 91% completion rate

- Who responded
- 249 Faculty
- 768 Staff
- Female 732
- Male 285
- URM/BIPOC 117
- White 647



Demographics of Respondents

Race/ethnicity

| Race/ethnicity | Percent | Frequency |
|--|---------|-----------|
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0.47% | 4 |
| Asian or Asian-American (not of Hispanic origin) | 4% | 36 |
| Black or African American (not of Hispanic origin) | 3% | 23 |
| Hispanic or Latino (please share) | 6% | 47 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0% | 0 |
| White/Caucasian (not of Hispanic origin) | 77% | 647 |
| Two or more races (please share) | 2% | 14 |
| Prefer not to answer | 9% | 74 |
| Total | 100% | 845 |



Sex

| Answer | Percent | N |
|--|---------|------|
| Male | 35% | 852 |
| Female | 60% | 1126 |
| Intersexed | 0% | |
| Additional sex category (please specify) | 0% | |
| Prefer not to answer | 5% | |
| Total | 100% | |

Gender Identity or Expression

| Answer | Percent | Frequency |
|---|---------|-----------|
| Woman | 60% | 490 |
| Male | 34% | 274 |
| Nonbinary, questioning or unsure, trans man, trans woman, demi-gender | <1% | 6 |
| Prefer not to answer | 6% | 47 |
| Total | 100% | 817 |

Sexual Orientation

| Answer | Percent | Frequency |
|--|---------|-----------|
| Straight/heterosexual | 82% | 658 |
| Asexual | 2% | 13 |
| Bisexual | 2% | 17 |
| Gay | 1% | 11 |
| Lesbian | 2% | 14 |
| Pansexual, queer, questioning or uncertain, same gender loving | <1% | 8 |
| Prefer not to answer | 10% | 79 |
| Total | 100% | 800 |



Perceptions of college leadership

CFAES

| Question | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|--|----------------------|----------|-------|----------------|
| Overall, I am satisfied with being an employee in the College of Food, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences. | 2% | 9% | 60% | 28% |
| College leadership visibly supports diversity, equity, and inclusion. | 2% | 11% | 55% | 32% |
| College leadership fosters a civil environment. | 2% | 7% | 61% | 29% |
| CFAES leadership proactively addresses issues related to diversity, equity, and inclusion. | 4% | 13% | 57% | 27% |

Perceptions of the College

| Question | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Not applicable |
|---|----------------------|----------|-------|-------------------|----------------|
| CFAES is a welcoming environment for all faculty/staff. | 2% | 13% | 51% | 30% | 3% |
| CFAES is a welcoming environment for all students. | 2% | 9% | 42% | 29% | 19% |
| CFAES provides an environment that encourages open expression of ideas. | 4% | 14% | 53% | 26% | 3% |



Perceptions of the College

| Question | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | Not applicable |
|---|-------------------|----------|-------|----------------|-------------------|
| CFAES provides an environment that encourages open expression of differing beliefs. | 5% | 16% | 48% | 25% | 4% |
| CFAES provides an environment that encourages open expression of opinions. | 5% | 17% | 49% | 24% | 4% |
| CFAES is an inclusive environment. | 3% | 14% | 53% | 26% | 4% |

Experiences with Discrimination

| Experience | Yes | Frequency | No | Frequency |
|-----------------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Discrimination | | | | |
| All respondents | 11% | 95 | 89% | 788 |
| White | 8% | 51 | 92% | 592 |
| URM/BIPOC | 17% | 17 | 83% | 83 |
| Female | 12% | 70 | 88% | 530 |
| Male | 9% | 25 | 91% | 258 |

Experiences with Discrimination

| Report | Yes | Frequency | No | Frequency |
|-----------------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Discrimination | | | | |
| All respondents | 24% | 23 | 76% | 72 |
| White | 18% | 9 | 82% | 42 |
| URM/BIPOC | 35% | 6 | 65% | 11 |
| Female | 24% | 17 | 76% | 53 |
| Male | 24% | 6 | 76% | 25 |

Experiences with Discrimination

| Reason | All | Freq | URM/ BIPOC | Freq | White | Freq | Fem | Freq | Male | Freq |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------|------------------|------|------------------|------|------------------|------|------------------|------|
| Age | 11% | 15 | 3% | 1 | <mark>14%</mark> | 10 | 10% | 11 | 9% | 4 |
| Race/ ethnicity | <mark>13%</mark> | 21 | <mark>35%</mark> | 12 | 3% | 2 | 8% | 9 | <mark>26%</mark> | 12 |
| Sexual orientation | 3% | 5 | 0% | 0 | 3% | 2 | 5% | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender identity or expression | <mark>24%</mark> | 37 | <mark>14%</mark> | 5 | <mark>34%</mark> | 25 | <mark>27%</mark> | 30 | <mark>15%</mark> | 7 |
| Veteran status | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| Country of origin | 6% | 10 | <mark>18%</mark> | 6 | 0% | 0 | 4% | 4 | 13% | 6 |
| Religion | 5% | 8 | 3% | 1 | 3% | 2 | 5% | 6 | 4% | 3 |
| Disability | 1% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1% | 1 | 2% | 2 | 0% | 0 |
| Socioeconomic status | 6% | 9 | 3% | 1 | 7% | 5 | 5% | 6 | 7 % | 3 |
| Employment classification | | | | | | | | | | |
| (position) Other, please | <mark>20%</mark> | 31 | 12% | 4 | <mark>26%</mark> | 19 | <mark>21%</mark> | 23 | <mark>17%</mark> | 8 |
| explain | 12% | 18 | 12% | 4 | 11% | 8 | <mark>13%</mark> | 14 | 9% | 4 |

Experiences with Harassment

| Experience | Yes | Freq | No | Freq |
|-----------------|-----|------|-----|------|
| Harassment | | | | |
| All respondents | 4% | 39 | 96% | 841 |
| White | 3% | 21 | 97% | 624 |
| URM/BIPOC | 6% | 6 | 94% | 95 |
| Female | 5% | 31 | 95% | 564 |
| Male | 3% | 8 | 97% | 277 |
| | | | | |

Table 11

| Report Harassment | Yes | Freq | No | Freq | |
|-------------------|-----|------|-----|------|--|
| All respondents | 59% | 23 | 40% | 16 | |
| White | 52% | 11 | 48% | 10 | |
| URM/BIPOC | 50% | 3 | 50% | 3 | |
| Female | 58% | 18 | 42% | 13 | |
| Male | 63% | 5 | 37% | 3 | |

CFAES

Experiences with Harassment

| Reason | All | Freq | URM/ BIPOC | Freq | White | Freq | Female | Freq | Male | Freq |
|--|------------------------|------|------------------------|------|------------------------|------|------------------------|--------|------------------------|------|
| Age | 10% | 6 | 8% | 1 | <mark>13%</mark> | 3 | 12% | 5 | 6% | 1 |
| Race/ethnicity | <mark>12%</mark> | 7 | <mark>25%</mark> | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7% | 3 | <mark>25%</mark> | 4 |
| Sexual orientation Gender identity or | 0% <mark>15%</mark> | 0 9 | 0% <mark>17%</mark> | 0 | 0% <mark>17%</mark> | 0 | 0% <mark>17%</mark> | 0 7 | 0% <mark>13%</mark> | 0 |
| expression | | 0 | | 0 | 00/ | 0 | 00/ | 0 | 00/ | 0 |
| Veteran status | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 |
| Country of origin | 5% | 3 | 8% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 2% | 1 | <mark>13%</mark> | 2 |
| Religion | 3% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 2% | 1 | 6% | 1 |
| Disability | 5% | 3 | 0% | 0 | 13% | 3 | 7% | 3 | 0% | 0 |
| Socioeconomic status Employment classification | 3% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 2% | 1 | 6% | 1 |
| (position) | 12% | 7 | <mark>17%</mark> | 2 | 8% | 2 | <mark>14%</mark> | 6 | 6% | 1 |
| Other, please explain | <mark>33%</mark> | 19 | <mark>25%</mark> | 3 | <mark>50%</mark> | 12 | <mark>36%</mark> | 15 | <mark>25%</mark> | 4 |



Hearing Disparaging Remarks

- Most respondents, over 90% have not heard disparaging remarks made about colleagues' intersecting identities
- One category, politics, did have 15% of respondents indicate that they heard disparaging remarks based on politics



Disparaging Remarks

| Question | % Yes | % No |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Sex | 5% | 95% |
| Gender identity or expression | 5% | 95% |
| Country of origin | 3% | 97% |
| Disability | 2% | 98% |
| Politics | <mark>15%</mark> | 85% |
| Religion | 3% | 97% |
| Age | 8% | 92% |
| Socioeconomic status | 3% | 97% |
| English as a second language | 3% | 97% |
| Veteran status | .11% | 99.89% |
| Sexual orientation | 3% | 97% |
| Position within the college | <mark>9%</mark> | 91% |
| Socioeconomic status | 3% | 96% |
| Physical appearance | 5% | 94% |
| Race/ethnicity | 5% | 95% |

Overall perception of departmental climate

(Faculty)

| | Strongly | | | Strongly |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------|
| Question | disagree | Disagree | Agree | agree |
| My colleagues value | | | | |
| my | | | | |
| research/scholarship. | 3% | 12% | 46% | 39% |
| My colleagues value | | | | |
| my teaching. | 3% | 6% | 45% | 45% |
| My colleagues value | | | | |
| my service. | 4% | 6% | 45% | 44% |
| I feel respected by | | | | |
| fellow faculty in my | | | | |
| department/unit. | 3% | 11% | 42% | 43% |
| I feel respected by | | | | |
| staff within my | | | | |
| department/unit. | 1% | 4% | 40% | 56% |
| I feel respected by | | | | |
| students. | 0.53% | 2% | 45% | 52% |
| I feel a sense of | | | | |
| belonging in my | | | | |
| department/unit. | 7% | 14% | 43% | 35% |

Table 15

Departmental Support (Faculty)

| Question | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly agree | |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-------|----------------|--|
| My department chair has provided me with clear | | | | | |
| expectations related to the | 8% | 18% | 36% | 38% | |
| promotion/tenure process. | 0/0 | 10 /0 | 30% | 30 % | |
| I have the opportunity to ask departmental colleagues | | | | | |
| questions related to | | | | | |
| promotion/tenure. | 2% | 7% | 39% | 52% | |
| I have a functional | | | | | |
| relationship with my faculty mentor. | 15% | 10% | 29% | 46% | |
| I feel comfortable asking my | | | | | |
| faculty mentor questions | 400/ | 70/ | 200/ | F3 0/ | |
| about promotion/tenure. I feel supported by my | 10% | 7% | 30% | 52% | |
| department chair. | 6% | 6% | 35% | 52% | |
| I am confident in my | | | | | |
| classroom management skills. | 0.53% | 2% | 37% | 60% | |
| I have been invited to | 0.55% | Z /0 | 37/0 | 00% | |
| network with other faculty | | | | | |
| within the department. | 5% | 16% | 38% | 41% | |

Overall departmental climate (staff)

| Question | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------|-------------------|
| My colleagues value my | | | | |
| service. | 3% | 7 % | 45% | 45% |
| I feel respected by | | | | |
| colleagues in my | | | | |
| department/unit/school. | 3% | 8% | 48% | 40% |
| I feel respected by | | | | |
| fellow staff within my | | | | |
| department/unit. | 3% | 5% | 45% | 47% |
| I feel respected by | | | | |
| students. | 3% | 4% | 40% | 54% |
| I feel a sense of | | | | |
| belonging in my | | | | |
| department/unit/school. | 4% | 14% | 44% | 38% |
| My department/unit | | | | |
| fosters an inclusive | | | | |
| environment. | 5% | 11% | 46% | 37% |



Open-ended question

 What actions can we as a college take to build a diverse, equitable, inclusive, and welcoming environment for all faculty, staff and students?



Open-ended question

- Over arching themes
 - Hiring/salary/retention (mentioned 112 times)
 - Training/programming/networking (mentioned 99 times)
 - Stakeholders and or funders limiting DEI/compensation for DEI work (mentioned 22 times)
 - Other including, Workday, Career Roadmap and other concerns (69 mentions)



Faculty and Staff Focus Group Themes

CFAES

- 6 faculty groups held during the month of January
- 12 staff groups held during the month of February
- All sessions were 60 minutes
- All were conducted via Zoom
- Up to 13 participants as few as 3
- Total of 101 faculty and staff participants

- There were statements regarding us being "behind other institutions", "slow to respond to this issue", and that the college gives "lip service"/ "performative/transactional actions" related to DEI.
- The concept of "entrenched" attitudes regarding various identities surfaced.

- Most agreed that we have some good things in place and there
 is a desire to do better, but we need to continue and to do
 more to enhance the college climate.
- Have seen more females in leadership positions
- "...I have appreciated the messaging and the fact that, like Kathy your role exists in the College. And the principles of Community and in our department that we have a committee looking at how can we be supported as faculty and staff".

- Strong ties to the agricultural community and commodity groups was brought up as a pro and a con due to the fact that they
 - "tend to be very white and male" creating a hesitancy to "say things or take positions that might be offensive to our stakeholder groups in the state (sic)".
 - "I see forward movement, but it seems like there are some external pressures that slow down progress oftentimes like there are some stakeholders that maybe are not on board with diversity, equity and inclusion efforts, and so I think that it seems like it thwarts progress a lot of times"

- Funding structure surfaced as a concern
- Concerns related to hiring practices were brought up and examples provided of URM candidates being in the pool and either not being interviewed or being interviewed and then not hired-sometimes due to stakeholder input.

- Poor undergraduate student recruitment practices that do not reach URM students.
- We need to engage more with students around DEI and recruit and retain a more diverse student body.
- There is apathy toward DEI by some of our colleagues.

- Feeling of safety and belonging-being able to bring your whole self to work.
- Seeing people who represent visible diversity/representation.
- Recognizing contributions of all CFAES employees e.g., faculty and staff.
- Having DEI infused in everything that we do not just as an after thought or as a reactive approach, "Issues of equity and inclusion would be a part of all of our conversations, not just the ones that are related to DEI work".

- Having intentional conversations about DEI when making decisions at all levels.
- "Providing faculty initiatives to hire a diverse group of lab techs, graduate students...".
- Building accountability for leaders related to DEI-how diverse is your unit, how do you contribute to building a diverse and inclusive environment.
- Celebrating leaders who are working toward increasing diversity in hiring and programming.



 Practicing faculty governance (When asked about Faculty Advisory Council it was deemed as another layer of bureaucracy that was used to deny access to the Dean).

- Focus on international faculty and celebrating their success and contributions to the college.
- Transparency
- "Being respected equally as my male counterparts".
- "Encouraging and fostering people's curiosity about different backgrounds and communicating and making sure people are told that their voices and experiences are important".

Q 3:How can the college demonstrate that there is value for DEI?

- Administration being more vocal about DEI.
- Being mindful of academic snobbery and how it may impact hiring and even undergraduate recruitment.
- Targeted campaign toward non-traditional students.
- Stronger outreach to HBCUs, Hispanic Serving Institutions, Tribal Colleges, and schools with a diverse student population.
- When building relationships especially with HBCUs, Hispanic Serving Institutions, and Tribal Colleges make sure it's a two-way street not just taking from them e.g., implement faculty exchange programs.

- Addressing the DEI tax often placed on URM and female faculty.
- Value DEI work in the P and T process or offer additional compensation for those engaging in DEI work.
- Having DEI embedded in APT and POA documents "are incredibly powerful signals..." "moving APT documents to formally reflect that, so now it's why aren't you doing that, rather than why are you doing it (DEI)". "Altering the College APT to shift the burden from candidates who have to say 'sorry, I supported DEI' to candidates having to say 'sorry, I didn't actively support DEI".

- Value staff as much as faculty.
- Amplifying URM faculty research and voices.
- Develop strong retention strategies for female and URM faculty and staff.
- Reliance on senior faculty and administrators to set a tone for establishing a welcoming environment and building diversity and equity and holding them accountable.
- Broadening stakeholder groups.

- Diversifying all college committees to ensure URM and International faculty representation.
- Acknowledging and addressing power disparities.
- Investing in hires (faculty and staff) that are tied to DEI.
- Mandatory DEI training like Report=Support.
- Continue to provide a budget for DEI.
- Ensure pay equity (faculty and staff)

- Being aware that not everyone celebrates Christmas and when having a party during that time not focusing it on Christmas decorations and practices associated solely with the Christmas Holiday.
- Avoid scheduling college events on non-Christian major holidays.
- Networking opportunities for folks engaged in DEI work.
- Authenticity from leaders related to DEI.



 DEI effort as part of the AMCP process require DEI effort and annual reporting changing the APT and POA processes.

Q 4:What should we have asked that we did not?

- How engaging in DEI work can be exhausting and how is it rewarded?
- What might we be afraid of in moving DEI forward?
- Reaching out to nontraditional stakeholders.
- Addressing negative student interactions-students saying horrible things about each other.
- Leaders not being held accountable for lack of support for DEI.
- The fact that having a DEI Office does not negate others responsibility to DEI efforts.



Q 4:What should we have asked that we did not?

- Addressing invisible diversity and being mindful of how needs might vary.
- Not having formal prayers at our events (respondent indicated that it was not a college level event but one that was still associated with our college).



Questions?

Departmental Audit October 20 2:00

CFAES

EST. 1870

Departmental audits

Kathy Lechman, PhD, Assistant Dean and Director



- Evolved as a compromise based on student demands for an external audit- developed from a variety of resources and literature.
- Each unit was provided data on the demographics of faculty, staff, and students including salary and years of service for faculty and staff.
- Units were asked to address
 - What groups are underrepresented for their department
 - Hiring practices within the department
 - How pay equity is achieved/maintained



- Promotion and tenure
- Onboarding of new faculty
- Same questions were addressed for staff
- Students
 - Recruitment and retention strategies
 - Types of support available to students including mental health services
 - Approach to ensuring the curriculum is reflective of diverse perspectives



- General Questions
- How is the importance of DEI communicated to faculty, staff, and students in your department/unit?
- How are goals related to DEI incorporated into the department/unit's strategic plan?
- How does the department/unit ensure DEI is addressed during performance reviews?
- Does the department/unit have a DEI committee or council?
 - If yes, what is the composition and how do they function within the department/unit?



- Departments-10 out of 11
- Nonacademic/support units-6 out of 7



Observations

- For many of our departments/units BIPOC are underrepresented in faculty and staff ranks.
- For some departments, women are still underrepresented.
- For most departments/units, rubrics based on the position description are used consistently in screening applicants at the faculty level.
- Interview questions are standardized for faculty searches. Questions include discipline specific as well as those addressing other attributes identified in the position description like communication, experiences with teaching and mentoring, conflict resolution, etc.



Observations

- Significant reliance on personal networks for recruitment which often results in hiring very similar individuals applying and being hired versus URM/BIPOC especially in staff positions.
- Some departments consult with HR to ensure pay equity.
- Some departments have DEI committees (HCS, ACEL, FST, AEDE ad hoc).
- A few departments did not address the staff section which had similar questions as the faculty section-can be perceived that staff are not as important as faculty



Best Practices

- When there is limited diversity (racial/ethnic/sex) some departments have asked faculty from other departments to serve on search committees as well as engaging with non-faculty and graduate students to ensure diversity on search committees.
- Including potential contributions to DEI as one of the primary qualifications that candidates were evaluated against in addition to potential to contribute to teaching and mentoring and research potential.
- Department wide DEI training-ideally staff are also included but that is not always the case.
- Animal Sciences assigns URM/BIPOC students a URM/BOPOC peer mentor from the department to assist with belonging.



Best Practices

- Buckeyes In Your Corner This is a monthly program that focuses on providing our students with a healthy outlet for stress, or mental health education, and connecting students with their peers as well as our staff/faculty within the department and college.
- Animal Sciences Connect Site Allows animal science students to create profiles and connect to other students looking to connect in the same way.
- Animal Sciences Letter Project Students that are in need of support or uplifting words can submit their first name and address and have a team of letter writers that create cards that are then packaged together and sent to that student.
- Some departments have a diversity tab on their websites (Animal Sciences, Extension is working on it, FABE, SENR)
- Departments with DEI workgroups/taskforces/committees/councils-ACEL, FST, HCS



Best Practices

- ACEL-DEI committee-workshops and field trips
- AEDE-internal climate survey completed by Task Force on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusionestablishing a POA committee focused on DEI
- Animal Sciences-great student support-recent community building event that will be held again in spring
- ATI-Continuing to promote Principles of Community
- Extension-new faculty on boarding process, new staff onboarding through LOD, DEI web page to be launched
 - DEI advisory committee being launched
- FABE-DEI on web page
- FST-IDEA committee
- HCS-Forming a standing committee based on the ad hoc the addressed the audit
- Plant Path-Monthly department wide DEI discussions and 4 session series from Drake Institute-also engaging with ODI Inclusive Excellence Team Certificate Program
- SENR-Chief Diversity Officer



- Increase efforts to engage with DEI groups that are part of professional organizations to assist with recruitment of new URM/BIPOC faculty.
- Encourage all departments to have a section related to commitment to DEI on their departmental web pages, at the minimum a link to the CFAES DEI web page. Principles of Community can also be linked and or referenced on department's websites.
- Inclusive Excellence training is a positive step, and we need to take it farther. Encourage search committees to utilize URM/BIPOC focused data bases-this can be in conjunction with the CFAES DEI Office since we are aware of all faculty searches.



- When departments/units learn of an opening conducting a demographic audit of the department to identify who and what areas might be underrepresented is a best practice. Recruitment plans can in part be developed to identify groups and research areas that can be targeted for the open position.
- Reminding faculty and staff that we should always be recruiting meaning when attending professional organization meetings be on the look out for diverse talent that we may want to recruit to CFAES.
- Solidify department/unit mentoring and work to incorporate culturally responsive approaches to mentoring faculty, staff, and students.



- Staff applicants should also be screened using a rubric based on the position description.
- Consider implementing college-level exit interviews for faculty and staff.
- Continue to share information about our embedded counselors at various stages of student careers in CFAES.
- CFAES DEI Action Council work with CFAES HRBP to develop guidance on addressing DEI in performance reviews.
- Continue the Inclusive Excellence requirement for those participating as search committee members for faculty positions and consider instituting Inclusive Excellence for staff searches (this impacts the Department of Extension the most as they tend to hire the most staff positions).

- Request a DEI statement for staff level positions like we do for faculty (at least Program Manager and higher).
- All units/departments engage with the CFAES Office of DEI-custom training is available.
- All faculty and staff encouraged to participate in internal and external DEI opportunities
- Some department specific faculty onboarding. I know SAD does this for new faculty at the college level, but many departments do not offer anything.
- Have a diversity tab on the college website with a DEIJ commitment statement (the Action Council can draft this).

- Request a DEI statement for staff level positions like we do for faculty (at least Program Manager and higher).
- All units/departments engage with the CFAES Office of DEI-custom training is available.
- All faculty and staff encouraged to participate in internal and external DEI opportunities
- Some department specific faculty onboarding. I know SAD does this for new faculty at the college level, but many departments do not offer anything.
- Have a diversity tab on the college website with a DEIJ commitment statement (the Action Council can draft this).